

THE STATE OF UTAH
COUNTY OF SEVIER
CITY OF RICHFIELD

At the City Council
In and For Said City
September 28, 2021

Minutes of the Richfield City Council meeting held on Tuesday, September 28, 2021, at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers of the Richfield City office building located at 75 East Center, Richfield, Utah. Mayor David C. Ogden presiding.

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. OPENING REMARKS | 9. ORDINANCE 2021-16 ADOPTED |
| 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE | 10. REQUEST FOR DENIAL OF ANNEXATION DENIED |
| 3. ROLL CALL | 11. PROPOSAL TO CHANGE METER COMPANIES |
| 4. PURCHASE OF A ROUGH MOWER Tabled | 12. PURCHASE OF A DUMP TRAILER APPROVED |
| 5. AIRPORT UPDATE | 13. FINANCIAL STATEMENT REVIEWED |
| 6. PUBLIC HEARING - ZONE CHANGE 500 WEST | 14. OTHER BUSINESS |
| 7. AWARD AND AUTHORIZATION FOR NOTICE TO PROCEED WITH THE SEWER LAGOON PROJECT | 15. EXECUTIVE SESSION |
| 8. RESOLUTION 2021-15 ADOPTED | 16. RECONVENE & ADJOURN |

- 1 1. OPENING REMARKS were offered by Councilmember Hansen.
2
3
- 4 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE was led by Councilmember Christensen.
5
6
- 7 3. ROLL CALL. **Present:** Mayor Ogden, Bryan Burrows, Kathy Christensen, Todd
8 Gleave, Kip Hansen, Connie Nielson, Michele Jolley, Tyson Hansen.
9
10
- 11 4. PURCHASE OF A ROUGH MOWER Tabled. Mr. Hansen explained that we
12 had a demo unit brought down for us to look at. It supposedly had 600 hours
13 and it ended up having 850 hours on it. The air filters were black and it had not
14 been well maintained, so for \$43,000 the golf course staff did not feel that this
15 was a very good deal. Mr. Robertson has a contact at TORO and he seemed to
16 think we could get a brand new one for basically the same price as the used
17 one, but we have not had enough time to look into this. We also looked at

1 buying the same type of mower that we bought for the parks, but the golf
2 course staff used tried it and it just does not have the width that they need.
3 They would have to hire another man to run an additional mower to do what
4 the one we have does.

5
6 Councilmember Burrows asked if the John Deere quote for \$71,040.77 was new.
7 Yes, this was for a brand-new mower. We need to do some more investigation
8 before we will be ready to purchase one. **Motion:** Table the purchase of a rough
9 mower for the golf course, **Action:** Tabled, **Moved by** Kip Hansen, **Seconded by**
10 Kathy Christensen. **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (**summary:**
11 Yes = 5). **Yes:** Bryan Burrows, Connie Nielson, Kathy Christensen, Kip Hansen,
12 Todd Gleave.

13
14

15 5. AIRPORT UPDATE. Adam Robinson stated that we will be expanding the
16 apron which is about an \$800,000 project, with \$36,000 from the State, \$36,000
17 from the City, and the remainder from FAA. We have budgeted for this project
18 in our capital improvement fund for the Airport. He also stated that we need to
19 continue to develop the area on the west side for more hangers, but FAA will
20 not help us on this and it is probably about a \$25,000 project. This would give us
21 approximately 150 feet and allow us to lease space for about 4 more hangers.

22

23 Mr. Robinson would like to have funds set aside to build some T hangers just
24 north of the Airport building in the near future. That would allow space to be
25 leased for 6 to 8 planes. He felt that the City should build this rather than let
26 someone else because it would be another source of. He believes that it will fill
27 up fairly quickly because we have 10 new pilots at the airport right now.

28

29 The Master Plan shows additional areas for future growth of hangers as the
30 airport grows.

31

32 Last year we received \$20,000 from the COVID money and we used it to seal
33 coat the service road, the parking lot and then we restriped everything. We
34 received another \$31,000 this year.

35

36 Mr. Robinson reported the number of landings per month comparing 2020 to
37 2021 has increased. There are some months where we have 600 landings. the
38 hunting season has been good for us. We have grossed \$40,000 in fuel sales this
39 past month.

40

41 He discussed the upcoming projects for the airport. In 2023 we will get \$300,000
42 from the State for runway rehabilitation and our portion will be \$30,000. In 2024,

1 we will have to update the Airport master plan and in 2025 we will have to move
2 the windsock because of its location in proximity to the hangers.

3
4 Councilmember Christensen asked if we could use the money from the lease of
5 the ground for hangers to pay for the taxiway.

6
7
8 6. PUBLIC HEARING - ZONE CHANGE 500 WEST. Mayor Ogden opened a
9 hearing opened at 7:28 p.m. to receive comments on a request to rezone the
10 property located on 500 West between 700 and 800 South. Joe Cefalia stated
11 that would like to develop some apartments on this property. They are
12 requesting a change to the zoning from RM-11 to RM-24. He has some
13 apartments in Orange County, California and he likes to build nice apartments
14 with 3 beds and two bathrooms. Councilmember Nielson asked how many units
15 they are proposing. Mr. Cefalia indicated that they would build 8 units.

16
17 The size of the lot is approximately .44 acres so it is not quite half an acre.

18
19 Councilmember Gleave asked if it is one or two-story. Mr. Cefalia indicated that
20 it would be 2 stories with 4 units on the bottom and 4 units on top with 2-car
21 garages. Only half of the units would have garages.

22
23 Councilmember Burrows asked when they are proposing to start the project. Mr.
24 Cefalia stated that as soon as they can get permits they will start.

25
26 Mayor Ogden closed the hearing at 7:40 p.m.

27
28
29 7. AWARD AND AUTHORIZATION FOR NOTICE TO PROCEED WITH THE SEWER
30 LAGOON PROJECT. Carson DeMille stated that normally we have a bid opening
31 and then the Council approves an award. This project is being done a little
32 differently. It is a design-assist. They are almost finished with this process. The
33 contractor is in the process of refining their bid so they were not ready with the
34 numbers tonight. They were hoping to begin before the next Council meeting.

35
36 We received 3 bids and WW Clyde was the low bid and 8.193 million; Harward
37 and Rees' bid was 11.9 million and Hales Sand and Gravel was 12.4 million. WW
38 Clyde was almost 4 million dollars lower than the next lowest bidder. WW Clyde
39 asked if the Council would permit Mayor Ogden to execute a notice of award
40 once their final prices were submitted and reviewed, knowing that it would be
41 under their original bid.

1 Councilmember Hansen noted that their approach to dewatering was quite a
2 bit different from the other contractors. He asked if that was still workable in Mr.
3 DeMille's estimation. Mr. DeMille noted that they have discussed this and some
4 of the ideas from the other contractors and they are going to implement some
5 of those. One thing discussed was digging a trench along the south and west
6 side of the lagoons, but they want to extend that and capture some of the
7 drainage before it reaches the lagoons. They are wanting to start this
8 immediately.

9
10 Mr. DeMille noted that they dug several holes out there to monitor the
11 groundwater and it has dropped a lot. Most of the holes are dry. **Motion:**
12 Accept and authorize Mayor Ogden to execute a notice to proceed so long as
13 the bid does not exceed their original bid of \$8,193,100, **Action:** Approved,
14 **Moved by** Bryan Burrows, **Seconded by** Kathy Christensen. **Vote:** Motion carried
15 by unanimous roll call vote (**summary:** Yes = 5). **Yes:** Bryan Burrows, Connie
16 Nielson, Kathy Christensen, Kip Hansen, Todd Gleave.

17
18
19 8. RESOLUTION 2021-15 ADOPTED. The Council reviewed a resolution to
20 accept the annexation petition for the annexation of 1500 South Street and
21 some adjoining property because the County is requesting that we do away
22 with the islands. We cannot annex the road without the adjacent property that
23 is not currently in the City.

24
25 Councilmember Burrows understands why we are doing this, but he does not
26 think it is right to force people to annex. He has a hard time with it because their
27 property taxes are going to increase. He thinks this is just so wrong. Nancy
28 Jensen of A&D Jensen told the City that she would be okay with annexing if the
29 property could be zoned to allow them to keep their animals there. Also, the
30 last parcel of theirs that was annexed was not required to put improvements in
31 along 1500 South and they would request the same along this property as well.
32 Jeff LaJeunesse, an owner of JKL, was approached and indicated that they
33 would be ok with annexing as well. They have approached the City before
34 about connecting on to the sewer and since they are not in the City they have
35 not been able to.

36
37 Councilmember Hansen asked what the appropriate zone would be for the
38 A&D Jensen parcel. He noted that the animals would be able to remain there
39 for a certain time regardless of the zoning. The only zone we have is an RR-1 or
40 RR-5. They could also be allowed in the manufacturing zones.

41
42 **Motion:** Accept the Annexation Resolution 2021-15 to annex 1500 South and

1 adjoining properties, **Action:** Approved, **Moved by** Bryan Burrows, **Seconded by**
2 Kip Hansen. **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (**summary:** Yes = 5).
3 **Yes:** Bryan Burrows, Connie Nielson, Kathy Christensen, Kip Hansen, Todd Gleave.
4
5

6 9. ORDINANCE 2021-16 ADOPTED. The Council reviewed the ordinance that
7 would set standards for Internal Accessory Dwelling Units. Councilmember
8 Hansen stated that there is not any new information that would change what
9 we need to do. He thinks we have done our due diligence. **Motion:** Adopt
10 Ordinance 2021-16 establishing requirements for Internal Accessory Dwelling
11 Units, **Action:** Approved, **Moved by** Kip Hansen, **Seconded by** Bryan Burrows.
12 **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (**summary:** Yes = 5). **Yes:** Bryan
13 Burrows, Connie Nielson, Kathy Christensen, Kip Hansen, Todd Gleave.
14
15

16 10. REQUEST FOR DENIAL OF ANNEXATION DENIED. Bob Bishop requested that
17 the Council deny annexation of some property that he is wanting to develop so
18 that he can do so in the County. Councilmember Burrows thought that half of
19 the property was in the City, but none of the property is in the City.
20

21 Mr. Bishop stated that the County will not issue him a building permit unless the
22 City denies his annexation. Councilmember Christensen asked Mr. Bishop why
23 he would not want to be part of the City. Mr. Bishop stated that there are too
24 many taxes. Also, he wondered where the water is going to come from. There
25 is no water available to the property. Councilmember Burrows thought there
26 was a well on the property.
27

28 The sewer line is on the east side of the property. The closest water runs into the
29 Justice complex.
30

31 Councilmember Nielson asked what he planned to do with the property. Mr.
32 Bishop stated that without water he is not sure what he could do. There is a well
33 on the property, but it has been abandoned. The State does not even
34 recognize it.
35

36 Mayor Ogden stated that he is thinking 5 or so years down the road and wonder
37 if the City would be rethinking the decision not to annex that property. Houses
38 could be built on that property and they may or may not want to hook onto the
39 sewer, but would not be able to. Mr. Bishop stated that the property is zoned
40 commercial in the County.
41

42 Councilmember Hansen noted that this property is within the City's annexation

1 boundary. Councilmember Burrows stated that there is not anything on that
2 side of the highway that is in the City so he wondered if this was even something
3 that we needed to look at.

4
5 Because the property falls within the City's annexable area, the cooperative
6 agreement we have with the County requires the City to deny their annexation.

7
8 **Motion:** Deny the annexation of the property located at approximately 481 N
9 Hwy 118, **Action:** None, **Moved by** Kathy Christensen. **Motion failed for lack of a**
10 **second.** Councilmember Nielson stated that we have grown a lot this year and
11 she is sure that we will continue to grow. She wondered if we deny annexation,
12 would this be a problem down the road. Would we be creating more islands?

13
14 Councilmember Christensen asked if we annex this property, would the City
15 need to provide water to the property. Councilmember Hansen stated that if
16 he annexes, he would have to bring water to the City. Councilmember Gleave
17 remembered that Derek Stokes was allowed to annex and we made an
18 agreement with him that he could use his well now, but when water was
19 available, he would be required to annex.

20
21 Mr. Bishop stated that the cost to get the water to that property, for no more
22 property than what it is, would not be feasible to bring the water to it.

23
24 Councilmember Christensen asked if he owned water on this property. Mr.
25 Bishop stated that there is not any water on that property and they are selling it
26 with no water. At present, the State is researching to get him back on the well,
27 but he is being told that it will not be much. There is a septic system on the
28 property because there was a mobile home there years ago.

29
30 Councilmember Hansen does not know the legalities of this and if a subsequent
31 owner or Mr. Bishop came to the City in the future and wanted to annex, would
32 this decision be final. Councilmember Burrows stated that if we force him to
33 annex then he would not have to bring water to the City. He would have to
34 connect to the City water, but he would not have to provide water for the
35 annexation.

36
37 The property to the south of this has storage units and there is not any water
38 there. The Pet Store does have a well. Councilmember Hansen wondered what
39 would happen if the City did nothing. Councilmember Burrows pointed out that
40 the County would not give him a building permit.

41 Councilmember Hansen does not see anything changing between now and a
42 subsequent meeting. He does not think anything will. Even though he has a

1 little heartburn about it, he would move to deny the request.

2
3 **Motion:** Approve the request for denial of annexation by Bob Bishop, **Action:**
4 **Motion died for lack of a second. Moved by** Kip Hansen. Councilmember
5 Christensen stated that it just makes sense that the property east of him would
6 eventually be annexed into the City and then we would have an island.
7 Councilmember Hansen stated that all we can do is act on this today.

8
9 Councilmember Nielson stated that she hates to cause a problem for anyone.
10 She stated that there have been times in the past where they have made a
11 mistake by not looking forward and thinking ahead of what we are going to do.
12 We do not know what is going to happen in the next couple of years. We need
13 to be forward-thinking because there will be water and sewer lines that have to
14 run through there and he may be forced to annex at a later date.

15
16 Councilmember Hansen stated that we only have two options; approve the
17 request or deny the request. Tabling it would do absolutely nothing. All it would
18 do is kick the can down the road to do nothing.

19
20 **Motion:** Deny the request to deny annexation, **Action:** Approved. **Moved by** Kip
21 Hansen **Seconded by** Todd Gleave. **Vote:** Motion passed (**summary:** Yes = 4, No
22 = 1, Abstain = 0). **Yes:** Connie Nielson, Kathy Christensen, Kip Hansen, Todd
23 Gleave. **No:** Bryan Burrows.

24
25
26 11. PROPOSAL TO CHANGE METER COMPANIES. Mr. Mogan stated that our
27 current meters are from Neptune and we have had these kinds of meters for
28 over 20 years. We are to the point that some of the meters have reached their
29 life expectancy, so we are going to have to start replacing them. We have
30 been looking at some different options because there are different ways to read
31 the meters. Currently, we have a computer in the truck and we drive through
32 the down which takes approximately 4 to 5 hours.

33
34 If we went to a station-based software that would have a server at a central
35 location, like the City office, at the meter reading could be done from that
36 central location.

37
38 There is a huge cost in switching out the meters because we have a million-
39 dollar asset in the ground. Twenty years ago, about 80% of our system was
40 Neptune meters so it made sense to continue with that. We have been asked
41 to look at other options because our system is aging and will require some
42 updating. We need to decide if we are going to stay with Neptune or if we are

1 going to go with a different company. A local supplier sells Zenner meters and
2 our current supplier is in *. We have, right now, about 100 bad heads and we
3 have others that are going out each month.

4
5 Mr. Mogan noted that there are pros and cons to both systems. We cannot
6 have pieces and parts for each. There are ways that the Zenner meters could
7 be wired into part of our Neptune system, but it makes things very difficult.

8
9 A quote from Peterson's \$851,744.12 and then the labor would be separate.

10
11 Brad * stated that they typically start with a pilot program and start the program
12 in a certain area of town and then as the meters go bad, we change those out
13 first. The bid given was broken up into 4 different phases to replace the meters
14 moving forward.

15
16 Councilmember Nielson asked if we would be able to replace the individual
17 meters that go bad and put in the pieces we need and do it over time or is this
18 something that we need to start over and redo the system.

19
20 Councilmember Burrows pointed out that the meters daisy-chain so they read
21 from one meter to the next meter or a repeater would need to be installed.
22 Brad * stated that you build a network across the City and we would be able to
23 pick up most anything. We can do a little of replacing things as needed, but
24 everything in the ground has run its life span and has depreciated out.
25 Communities in similar situations, as they start changing out the meters they find
26 that they have a jump in revenue of what is coming in because the meters get
27 just a little bit of debris in them so the meter takes a little longer to start spinning
28 when the water is turned on.

29
30 Councilmember Hansen asked what was a fairly recent community that they
31 have installed one of these systems. Brad* noted the closest community is
32 Annabella. Councilmember Hansen asked how long they have been on the
33 system. Brad* stated within the last year. Glendale was the first community in
34 Utah to go with Zennor meters.

35
36 Councilmember Burrows asked how many Neptune meters we still have under
37 warranty. Mr. Mogan stated that we have replaced about 900 meters in the last
38 6 years. Those are under warranty and they can read with station-based
39 software. They have a 20-year guaranty.

40
41 Mr. Hansen stated that, in fairness, we are waiting for Neptune to give us a bid
42 on a station-based system. Councilmember Hansen wondered how long

1 Neptune is committed to supporting the older technology. Councilmember
2 Burrows asked how many meters we are waiting to change right now. Mr.
3 Mogan noted that there are about 100 meters.

4
5 Councilmember Nielson stated that if we can go local and the costs are
6 comparable, then we need to go local.

7
8 Councilmember Christensen stated that when this was done in the first place,
9 Petersons came in and made a presentation and the City decided to go with
10 Neptune and you figure, they have been with Neptune for 25 years. The
11 amount of money that the City has spent out-of-town, instead of shopping local
12 for 25 years; if we do not make a change in another 100 years or 200 years you
13 are spending all of the money out of your City. We tell people to shop local, but
14 the City Council 25 years ago, to her, screwed up by not caring to shop local.

15
16 Right now, Councilmember Nielson stated that we are a big town to live on
17 sales tax and we are a big town to not encourage our community businesses.
18 This is a big deal. This is our survival. She thinks that this needs to be taken into
19 consideration.

20
21 Mr. Mogan stated that if we do switch, it needs to be done in a 4 to 5-year
22 period and get it all switched over. This was before his time, but the reason the
23 City decided to go with Neptune is that we were already with Neptune and 80%
24 of the system in the ground at that time was Neptune. If we stay with Neptune,
25 we would not have to change over as quickly, so as the heads go bad they
26 would be changed out. We may have one month where we spend \$20,000 or
27 a month where we spend \$60,000 and the next month we may not spend
28 anything.

29
30 Councilmember Burrows pointed out that if we do a switch over now and we
31 did it over 4 years, we would be looking at \$250,000 per year. Mr. Mogan stated
32 that it would cost more than that because this does not include labor and we
33 do not have the manpower to go out and change out all these meters.
34 Something else to consider is that a lot of the meters are in old, 4-bolt setters and
35 expansion setters, and when we start taking those meters apart, we will start
36 creating leaks. Councilmember Burrows stated we would have that when we
37 put in a new Neptune meter. Mr. Mogan noted that this would not necessarily
38 be the case because we would only have to change out the radio when it goes
39 bad and not the whole meter.

40
41 Mr. Mogan figured that the cost to change over would almost be double the
42 bid with labor included.

1
2 Mr. Mogan was also approached by the other company that is local and sells
3 meters, so they also want a chance to bid on this.

4
5 Councilmember Nielson stated that we need to decide how much we are
6 willing to bleed out of our community over the next 20 years. She would like to
7 see the cost of all the companies compared.

8
9 Councilmember Hansen wondered if there have been any security issues with
10 these newer systems and if they would be hacked. Brad* stated that generally,
11 the problem is with employees and not with software.

12
13 Mayor Ogden asked Brad* if he would be able to find other cities our size and
14 what the cost-benefit would be to change over. Councilmember Hansen
15 indicated that this is probably something that we would have to do an RFP for.
16 Councilmember Burrows stated that Neptune would be cheaper because we
17 already have so many of them in the ground.

18
19 Councilmember Burrows asked if there are any grants out there for water
20 conservation that could be applied to this. Brad* is not aware of anything.

21
22 Mr. DeMille stated that there would be grants from the Division of Water Quality,
23 but we probably would not get any funds because we do not charge enough
24 for water.

25
26
27 12. PURCHASE OF A DUMP TRAILER APPROVED. Mr. Hansen stated that we
28 discussed this in other business at the last meeting. We were able to get a
29 couple of bids and we would use the remaining funds from the repair of the
30 backhoe to purchase this trailer. Jorgensen's gave us a bid and they will be
31 about 6 months out and Innovative thought it would be about a year out, but
32 they had one come available today. It is slightly different from the other trailers
33 that we have bids for because it has 36" sides and the others 24" sides. The
34 other trailers have a bi-fold door and the Innovative trailer has a 3-way door. It is
35 about \$1,000 more given the options on the other trailers. Councilmember
36 Burrows asked how much we have left from the backhoe. Mr. Hansen stated
37 that we have \$12,600 and the Innovative trailer is \$14,946. Mr. Mogan stated
38 that they originally wanted 36" sides.

39
40 **Motion:** Approve the purchase of a dump trailer from Innovative Trailers for
41 \$14,964.15, **Action:** Approved, **Moved by** Bryan Burrows, **Seconded by** Kathy
42 Christensen. **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (**summary:** Yes = 5).

1 **Yes:** Bryan Burrows, Connie Nielson, Kathy Christensen, Kip Hansen, Todd Gleave.

2
3
4 PURCHASE OF 3 PARCELS FROM UDOT APPROVED. The Council reviewed the
5 information submitted for the cost associated with the purchase of the 3 parcels
6 of land located south and west of the Cottonwood detention basin for future
7 cemetery space. The total appraisal for the 3 parcels was \$162,600. Mayor
8 Ogden noted that the land has to be used for a public purpose and we could
9 not sell the property. He wondered what would happen if the City decided not
10 to use the property for the stated purpose. Would it go back to UDOT and
11 would the City get its money back? Councilmember Christensen could not
12 imagine that we would change what we wanted to use the property for
13 because we do need more cemetery space and that would be a good
14 location for that.

15
16 Councilmember Burrows asked if the State owns any of the property that the
17 detention basin is one. They do own some of it and Mr. Mogan is working with
18 the State to determine how much they own. There is also some property owned
19 by the County.

20
21 Mr. Mogan stated that this property we are looking at purchasing is very rocky
22 and it may be difficult to get grass to grow there unless we bring in a lot of fill
23 material.

24
25 Councilmember Burrows suggested we approach the State about maintaining
26 the part of the detention pond for the cost of the property.

27
28 **Motion:** Table until we get all the particulars, **Action:** Table, **Moved by** Bryan
29 Burrows, **Seconded by** Kathy Christensen. **Vote: Motion failed (summary:** Yes = 0,
30 No = 5, Abstain = 0). **No:** Bryan Burrows, Connie Nielson, Kathy Christensen, Kip
31 Hansen, Todd Gleave.

32
33 Councilmember Burrows wondered if there has been any soil sample testing
34 done on the property. Mr. Mogan reminded the Council that if we want grass
35 there, we will need to bring in some dirt to make that happen. Councilmember
36 Hansen indicated that this is a good point to make because there are a lot of
37 very nice cemeteries that do not have acres and acres of turf. We might have
38 to have a whole different change of mind for lots of reasons. It takes a lot of
39 water. A cemetery is a public park and so we equate that with lots and lots of
40 lawn, but that does not need to be the case. It could be more xeriscape and a
41 whole different approach that is not a traditional park lawn type cemetery.

1 **Motion:** Approve the purchase of the property for \$162,600, **Action:** Approved,
2 **Moved by** Kip Hansen, **Seconded by** Kathy Christensen. **Vote:** Motion carried by
3 unanimous roll call vote (**summary:** Yes = 5). **Yes:** Bryan Burrows, Connie Nielson,
4 Kathy Christensen, Kip Hansen, Todd Gleave.
5
6

7 13. FINANCIAL STATEMENT REVIEWED. Mr. Hansen reviewed the financial
8 statement. He noted that the significant sources of revenue are doing okay
9 compared to prior years. Most expenditures are in line with where we should be.
10 Our general fund has a net income of \$497,000. The water fund is okay, but as
11 he has mentioned before revenues in August and September were down
12 \$35,000 in water sales. That could be due to the stuck meters and the fact that
13 everyone has been told not to water as much. We have earned 24.95% of our
14 revenue and we are 25% into our fiscal year, so we are still on track with what
15 we have projected. Our total operating expenses are looking good in that
16 fund.

17 The sewer fund has taken a big hit with the lift station and the sewer lagoon
18 project. The line item for work in progress and construction in progress, the
19 balance shows almost one million dollars. Once the bond for the sewer lagoon
20 closes, we can submit for a lot of this money and get most of that back. We
21 have spent \$240,000 on the sewer lagoon so far.
22
23

24 14. OTHER BUSINESS. Mr. Hansen stated that last year we talked about having
25 salt stored in our yard and that it is less expensive to buy it from Redmond,
26 instead of buying it from the County. Also, when we have to get it from the
27 County, they put us on the back burner and cannot get any salt from them until
28 they have taken care of the County. We also have to wait for them to load it for
29 us. We talked about a 3- sided carport which would cost about \$5,000 and then
30 we would have to line it. If we are going to benefit from this, we should get it
31 ordered because everything is taking a lot more time to get. He asked the
32 Council if this is something we should do.
33

34 Councilmember Burrows wondered if we had not already approved it. Mr.
35 Hansen stated that we talked about it, but it was not decided on.

36 Councilmember Burrows stated that we should look at putting cement stem
37 walls in. Mr. Mogan will get some costs together and bring them back to the
38 Council.
39

40 Councilmember Burrows reported that he is still in the process of getting poles for
41 the golf course.
42

1 Councilmember Christensen said that our purchasing policy says something
2 about being within 5% then the purchase should be made locally. She
3 wondered if we needed to change this because Amazon would always be
4 cheaper than if you get something locally. She would like to change it in some
5 way so that we get things locally and not from Amazon. Mr. Hansen stated that
6 it is not always possible to buy locally, even from Walmart. Councilmember
7 Nielson stated that we need to set an example and be more supportive of our
8 local business.

9

10

11 15. MEETING ADJOURNED. At 9:25 p.m., **Motion:** Adjourn, **Action:** Adjourned,
12 **Moved by** Kathy Christensen, **Seconded by** Kip Hansen. **Vote:** Motion carried by
13 unanimous roll call vote (**summary:** Yes = 5). **Yes:** Bryan Burrows, Connie Nielson,
14 Kathy Christensen, Kip Hansen, Todd Gleave.

PASSED and APPROVED this 26th day of October 2021.

Recorder

DRAFT